Revolutionizing Irrigation in Morocco: A Performance Analysis of Low-Pressure Drip Systems

Anas MANSOURI[1] and Vinay NANGIA[1]

Ali Hammani[2]

1 International Center for Agricultural Research in the Dry Areas (ICARDA)

2 Institut Agronomique et Vétérinaire Hassan 2 (IAV Hassan 2)

Mansouri, A., & Nangia, V. (2023). Title of the article. *Journal Name*, volume(issue), page range. DOI or URL

Abstract:

In the water-scarce MENA region, with a focal emphasis on Marrakech, Morocco, efficient water, and energy utilization in agriculture is paramount. This research aimed to evaluate and compare the performance of traditional drip emitters, commonly adopted by regional farmers, to that of emerging low-pressure emitters. Over a duration of 365 days, both systems underwent rigorous testing on their irrigation patterns, flow rates, and associated energy consumption. Findings from this study indicated a modest increase of 0.59% in water consumption with the low-pressure emitters. More notably, however, there was a substantial reduction in energy consumption of approximately 63.84%. When assessed on a specific energy basis, low-pressure systems demonstrated a remarkable efficiency, consuming just 6.04 W/m^3 compared to traditional emitters that consumed 17.14 W/m^3. This highlights a potential energy savings of 64.76% with the low-pressure system. Given the region's challenges with water and energy resources, this study provides compelling evidence in favor of adopting low-pressure emitters as a sustainable and efficient alternative in the MENA agricultural landscape.

Introduction:

Water scarcity remains a paramount challenge for the Middle East and North Africa (MENA) region. As per the World Resources Institute (2019), over 60% of the global population resides in high water stress areas, and notably, MENA countries account for 12 of the world's 17 most water-stressed nations[1]. The compounding pressures from rising population and increasing agricultural demands intensify this water scarcity, making it a primary concern for policymakers across the region.

Morocco, emblematic of the MENA region's water situation, has faced escalating challenges. Geographically diverse, the nation has a mix of coastal zones, mountainous regions, and deserts that together present a multifaceted hydrological scenario[2]. While underground aquifers have historically been a source of water, their over-extraction poses a significant threat to long-term sustainability[3]. Furthermore, declining river basins coupled with recurrent droughts—exacerbated, in part, by climate change[4]—heighten the urgency of water conservation.

On the energy front, Morocco's challenges mirror its water conundrum. The nation has historically relied on imported fossil fuels, given its lack of oil reserves, making the economy vulnerable to fluctuations in global oil prices[5]. Energy inefficiencies, including distribution challenges and system leaks, have underscored the importance of pivoting towards sustainable energy alternatives[6].

Recognizing this intertwined dilemma of water and energy, Morocco has made strides towards adopting solar-powered drip irrigation systems. Such systems, harnessing the abundant solar energy available in the region, offer dual benefits: direct water delivery to plant roots conserves water, while the renewable energy source minimizes carbon footprint[7]. In the realm of agriculture, the largest water-consuming sector in Morocco[8], this innovation marks a significant leap towards sustainability.

Building on this backdrop, our research delves into the efficacy of low-pressure drip irrigation systems. In a comparative analysis, we evaluate traditional emitters that operate at 0.7 bar against their low-pressure counterparts requiring just 0.15 bar. The potential implications of this shift range from substantial energy savings to augmented water-use efficiency. As we explore this topic further, we aim to present a compelling argument for its broader application in Morocco and the wider MENA region.

Methodology

1. Research Location and Site Details

The research was conducted at the INRA Research Station located in Marrakech. Two analogous plots were selected, each covering an area of 0.5 Ha. Both plots had the same crop - young olive trees.

2. Emitter Systems

The first site was equipped with traditional emitters from the brand Netafim, specifically the PC Junior model, which requires an operating pressure of 0.7 bar and has a flow rate of 8 L/H. Conversely, the second site was outfitted with a new innovative low-pressure emitter developed by the MIT GEAR Lab. This emitter operates at a significantly reduced pressure of 0.15 bar but maintains the same flow rate as the traditional emitters, which is 8 L/H.

3. Water Source and Quality

Both sites sourced water from a mix of dam and groundwater. This water was stored in an irrigation basin. To ensure consistent water quality for both plots, the water underwent a filtration process using sand filters, which removed organic matter, and disc filters, which eliminated suspended matter and sand.

4. Soil Consistency

Both plots had identical soil texture, categorized as clay soil. This consistency ensured a uniform infiltration rate and moisture retention capacity, crucial for evaluating the effectiveness of the different emitter systems.

5. Irrigation Process

Automatic pumps were installed on both plots to provide water according to the crop water requirements. The Penman-Monteith formula was employed to estimate the reference evapotranspiration, and this was combined with the region-specific calibrated crop coefficients for olives in Marrakech to derive the irrigation needs.

6. Measurement Techniques

6.1 Water Consumption: Totalizers were installed at the inlets of each plot. These devices measured the volume of water consumed over the research period, ensuring accurate water usage data for both emitter systems.

6.2 Flow Rate: To gauge the flow rate in each plot, ultrasonic flow meters were utilized. This non-invasive technology ensured real-time and accurate flow measurements without interfering with the emitter's functioning.

6.3 Pressure Monitoring: Pressure sensors were positioned strategically to measure the operating pressures for both the traditional and low-pressure emitter systems.

7. Calculation of Hydraulic Power and Energy Consumption

7.1 Hydraulic Power: The hydraulic power consumed by each emitter system was computed using the formula:

Hydraulic Power (W)=Average Pressure (Pa)×Average Flow Rate (m^3/s)

This equation was used to calculate the hydraulic power for both traditional and low-pressure emitter systems.

7.2 Energy Consumption: To ascertain the energy consumed by each system, we integrated the computed hydraulic power over time (in this case, over 365 days). This integration gave us an insight into the overall energy efficiency and consumption patterns of the two emitter systems.

This methodology ensures a holistic and comparative study of the traditional emitter system and the innovative low-pressure system. By maintaining consistency in water quality, soil texture, and crop type, any variations in performance can be conclusively attributed to the emitter systems themselves.

Results and Discussion

Utilizing the data derived from the experimental plots at the INRA Research Station in Marrakech, we observed remarkable distinctions between traditional drip emitters and the novel low-pressure emitters concerning their hydraulic performance and energy consumption.

Table 1: Comparative Analysis of Traditional and Low-Pressure Drip Emitters: Performance Metrics and Energy Consumption (2020-2021)

Sites	Irrigation event (Days)	Irrigation duration based on the CWR (min)	Irrigation duration based on the CWR (s)	Average flow rate (m3/h)	Water consupmtion (m3)	Average flow rate (m3/s)	Average pressure (Bar)
Site Traditional drip emitters	**365**	**51668**	**3100055.56**	**2.58**	**2220.3**	**0.0007168544**	**0.6168909832**
Site Low pressure drip emitters	365	51668	3100055.56	2.59	2232.8	0.0007186485	0.2175729157

Sites	Average pressure (Pa)	Hydraulic power (Power = pressure (Pa) * flow rate (m3/s) (at every time interval)) (	Hydraulic Energy (J)	Hydraulic Energy (Wh)	Specific energy (W/m3) = total energy / total volume delivered at any time interval	Cumulative water consumtion (m3)	Cumulative Energy consumption (Kwh)
Site Traditional drip emitters	**61689.1**	**16193.3**	**137394477.1**	38.17	**17.14**	**2220.3**	**38.2**
Site Low pressure drip emitters	21757.3	5812.3	49699570.2	13.81	6.04	2232.8	13.8

1. Water Consumption and Flow Rate:

Across the 365-day irrigation event, both systems displayed almost similar performance in terms of the average flow rate and total water consumption. The traditional drip emitters resulted in a flow rate of 2.58 m3/h and consumed about 2220.3 m3 of water. In comparison, the low-pressure drip emitters had a slightly higher flow rate at 2.59 m3/h and used approximately 2232.8 m3. The slightly higher flow rate and water consumption in the low-pressure system might be due to reduced resistance, leading to a marginally more efficient water delivery.

2. Hydraulic Power and Energy:

The significant difference between the two systems becomes evident when looking at the average pressure, hydraulic power, and hydraulic energy. The traditional drip emitters operated at an average pressure of 0.6169 bar (61689.1 Pa), leading to a hydraulic power of 16193.3 and a cumulative energy consumption of 38.17 Wh. This contrasts starkly with the low-pressure emitters, which operated at a reduced average pressure of 0.2176 bar (21757.3 Pa), resulting in a hydraulic power of 5812.3 and an overall energy consumption of 13.81 Wh. The dramatic decrease in energy consumption—approximately a 63.83% reduction—illustrates the advantage of low-pressure systems in energy savings.

3. Specific Energy:

Analyzing the specific energy (energy per unit volume of water delivered), the traditional system consumed 17.14 W/m3, whereas the low-pressure system required only 6.04 W/m3. This represents a drop of approximately 64.76% in the energy needed to deliver a unit volume of water. This data strengthens the proposition that low-pressure systems, while delivering similar water volumes, are vastly superior in energy efficiency.

4. Cumulative Figures:

Over the entire period of the study, the low-pressure system, despite delivering an additional 12.5 m3 of water, consumed 24.4 kWh less energy than the traditional system. These savings are noteworthy, especially when scaled to larger agricultural operations or prolonged usage.

Discussion:

The marginal increase in water delivery by the low-pressure system might be due to the reduced energy lost to overcoming system resistance. This illustrates the efficiency gains possible when system designs reduce operational pressures.

Most significantly, the massive energy savings with the low-pressure system, both in terms of absolute energy and specific energy, spotlight the potential for sustainable farming practices. The MIT GEAR Lab's low-pressure emitter proves to be a frontrunner in this regard, offering substantial energy savings without compromising on water delivery.

Moreover, for regions like Marrakech and, by extension, the larger MENA region, where energy and water resources are both crucial and intertwined, such innovations can pave the way for a more sustainable agricultural future.

In conclusion, while both emitter systems achieved the fundamental goal of drip irrigation—direct and efficient water delivery to plant roots—the low-pressure system showcased immense potential in energy conservation, a crucial parameter in the holistic evaluation of sustainable irrigation practices.

Conclusions and Recommendations

Conclusions:

1. **Equivalence in Water Delivery:** Both the traditional and the low-pressure emitter systems were consistent in their primary function: the delivery of water. The volume delivered was comparable, illustrating the efficiency of both systems in meeting the crop water requirements.
2. **Dramatic Energy Savings:** The low-pressure emitter system showcased a significant reduction in energy consumption. A drop of nearly 63.83% in cumulative energy consumption over the period is a testament to its efficiency.

3. **Hydraulic Efficiency:** The specific energy figures further illuminated the efficiency of the low-pressure system. Requiring only 6.04 W/m^3 as opposed to the traditional system's 17.14 W/m^3, it indicates that the system requires significantly less energy to deliver a unit volume of water.

4. **Operational Pressure and Energy Consumption:** The clear inverse relationship between operational pressure and energy consumption was evident. The reduced operating pressure of the low-pressure emitters led to diminished energy demands, pointing to the importance of pressure management in irrigation systems.

5. **Sustainability Implications:** The energy savings observed, when extrapolated to larger agricultural operations or extended timeframes, can result in significant reductions in operational costs and environmental impacts.

6. **Parallel Trajectories:** Both water and energy consumption showed linked trends. The marginal increase in water delivery efficiency in the low-pressure system came with substantial energy savings.

7. **Potential for Water Conservation:** While the difference in water consumption between the two systems was modest, any reduction or efficiency gain in water use is valuable, particularly in water-scarce regions like the MENA.

8. **Significant Energy Efficiency:** The low-pressure emitter system's reduced energy consumption, a drop of nearly 63.83%, underscores the potential for significant energy savings in irrigation practices. When compared on a specific energy basis, this system was approximately 64.76% more energy-efficient per unit of water delivered.

9. **Integrated Resource Management:** The intertwined relationship between water and energy, often termed the water-energy nexus, was clearly evident in the study. Efficient water delivery mechanisms inherently contribute to energy conservation.

Recommendations:

1. **Adoption of Low-Pressure Systems:** Given the energy efficiency of the low-pressure emitters, it is recommended that farms, especially in water and energy-scarce regions, consider transitioning to or incorporating these systems into their operations.

2. **Training and Education:** For the effective implementation of new systems, adequate training must be provided to farm operators. Understanding the nuances of the low-pressure system is vital for its optimal functioning.
3. **Further Research:** While this study has provided valuable insights, further research should be conducted on different crops, soil types, and climates to ascertain the broad applicability and advantages of low-pressure emitter systems.
4. **System Maintenance:** Emphasis should be placed on regular maintenance and checks to ensure the low-pressure systems operate efficiently and without blockages, especially given their potentially more delicate nature.
5. **Economic Analysis:** An in-depth economic analysis should be conducted, taking into account the reduced energy bills, potential increased longevity of pumps due to reduced pressures, and any cost differences in the installation of the two systems.
6. **Policy Support:** Government agencies and agricultural bodies should be made aware of these findings. Subsidies or incentives for the adoption of low-pressure emitter systems can drive their adoption at a larger scale.
7. **Holistic Resource Management:** Given the intertwined nature of water and energy, comprehensive resource management practices should be implemented, accounting for both water and energy efficiencies.
8. **Prioritize Energy-Efficient Irrigation:** Given the clear energy savings demonstrated by the low-pressure emitter system, it should be considered a priority in regions where energy costs or energy availability are concerns.
9. **Wider Implementation of Low-Pressure Systems:** Institutions, research bodies, and agricultural organizations should advocate for the broader adoption of low-pressure emitter systems, given their proven benefits in energy conservation without compromising water delivery.
10. **Awareness Campaigns:** Agricultural stakeholders should be made aware of the dual benefits of water and energy conservation achieved by such systems. Workshops, training sessions, and awareness campaigns can play a pivotal role in promoting their benefits.
11. **Invest in R&D:** Encourage further research and development in the field of low-pressure irrigation systems. Innovations that further reduce energy consumption or increase water delivery efficiency should be sought.

12. **Tailored Solutions:** While the low-pressure emitter system showed marked benefits in the context of this study, it's important to consider regional and crop-specific needs. Customized solutions may be necessary to address unique challenges in different scenarios.
13. **Monitor and Manage:** For farms transitioning to more efficient systems, continuous monitoring tools, such as IoT devices or other sensors, can be implemented. These tools can provide real-time data on water and energy consumption, ensuring that the systems are functioning at their peak efficiency.
14. **Policy Interventions:** Governments and policy-making bodies should consider providing incentives, such as subsidies or tax breaks, to farmers or institutions that adopt water and energy-saving technologies. This can accelerate the transition towards sustainable practices.

In sum, while both the traditional and low-pressure emitter systems were efficient in water delivery, the vast energy savings offered by the latter underscore its potential role in the future of sustainable agriculture.

Acknowledgment:

The authors express their heartfelt gratitude to the International Center for Agricultural Research in the Dry Areas (ICARDA) for their unwavering support and provision of resources essential for this study. We would also like to acknowledge the farming community of Marrakech, Morocco, for their cooperation and invaluable insights into regional irrigation practices. Our appreciation extends to the dedicated research team whose tireless efforts ensured the rigorous testing and data collection pivotal to the success of this investigation. Additionally, we thank the reviewers for their constructive feedback, which significantly improved the quality of this manuscript. Lastly, our gratitude goes to the various stakeholders and institutions who recognize the urgency of water and energy challenges in the MENA region and continue to support research endeavors that aim to provide sustainable solutions for the future.

References:

1. World Resources Institute (2019). Ranking the World’s Most Water-Stressed Countries in 2040.
2. Margat, J., & Treyer, S. (2004). L'eau des hommes et la vie des eaux au Maroc. L'Harmattan.
3. Swearingen, W. D., & Bencherifa, A. (1996). Traditional water management in the lower Maghreb. Geographical Journal.
4. IPCC (2018). Special Report: Global Warming of 1.5 ºC.
5. Messaoudi, L., & El Fouty, A. (2018). Energy strategy in Morocco. Energy Policy.
6. Morocco World News (2019). Morocco’s Energy Efficiency Strategy to Save 20% of Energy by 2030.
7. FAO (2016). Solar powered irrigation systems - Technology, benefits, risks, and potential.
8. World Bank (2015). Morocco - Overview of the agricultural sector.